Archipel: Journal of Indonesian Interdisciplinary Studies
E-ISSN : 3124-6621
Vol. (2) No. (3) November 2026
https://ojsap.com/index.php/archipel
DOI : https://doi.org/10.65739/archipel.v2i3.94

Towards an Integrated Quality Management Framework for Islamic Educational Institutions

Irfan Mohd. Fauzi[1], Maisah[2], M. Yusuf[3], Refnil Yetti[4], Amir Daus[5]

[1] Universitas Islam Al-Kifayah Riau, Indonesia
[2,3,4,5] Universitas Islam Negeri Sulthan Thaha Saifuddin Jambi, Indonesia

irfanmohdfauzi@gmail.com*

Article Information:
Received October 12, 2026
Revised October 28, 2026
Accepted November 16, 2026
Published November 26, 2026

Keywords: *Islamic Education, Quality Management, Islamic Values, Quality Assurance*

Abstract

Quality management in Islamic educational institutions requires an integrated approach that combines contemporary management principles with Islamic ethical values to promote institutional effectiveness, accountability, and continuous improvement. However, existing scholarship presents diverse perspectives on the relationships among educational leadership, institutional governance, quality assurance, and Islamic values, highlighting the need for a more coherent conceptual synthesis. This study aims to develop an integrated quality management framework for Islamic educational institutions by examining relevant theoretical perspectives, organizational mechanisms, and institutional improvement processes. A Systematic Literature Review approach is proposed, following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analyses guidelines. The review protocol encompasses scholarly publications from 2019 to 2026 and employs structured literature identification, eligibility assessment, quality appraisal, and thematic synthesis. A preliminary synthesis of relevant literature informs a conceptual framework comprising three interconnected dimensions: normative foundations, organizational mechanisms, and institutional improvement processes. The normative dimension incorporates Islamic ethical principles, including amanah, ihsan, itqan, adl, and shura. The organizational dimension encompasses strategic leadership, governance, Total Quality Management, quality assurance, and stakeholder participation, while the improvement dimension emphasizes institutional evaluation, organizational learning, and continuous quality enhancement. The proposed framework contributes to Islamic educational management scholarship by clarifying potential relationships between ethical principles and institutional management practices. However, comprehensive systematic evidence synthesis and empirical validation remain necessary. The framework offers a preliminary theoretical foundation for developing context sensitive, ethically grounded, and sustainable quality management practices in Islamic educational institutions.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).
Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). Published by Archipel: Journal of Indonesian Interdisciplinary Studies

INTRODUCTION

The pursuit of educational quality has become a central concern in contemporary educational management, particularly as educational institutions face increasing expectations regarding institutional accountability, academic performance, organizational effectiveness, and sustainable development. Quality management is no longer understood merely as a mechanism for maintaining administrative standards or fulfilling accreditation requirements; rather, it constitutes a comprehensive institutional strategy encompassing leadership, governance, human resource development, stakeholder engagement, organizational learning, and continuous improvement. Within this evolving landscape, Islamic educational institutions encounter the additional responsibility of ensuring that modern quality management practices remain consistent with their religious, ethical, and educational missions. Recent scholarship has consequently emphasized the importance of integrating contemporary management principles with Islamic educational values to establish quality management systems that are institutionally effective, ethically grounded, and responsive to changing educational demands (Hasbi et al., 2025; Suherman et al., 2026).

Islamic educational institutions, including Islamic schools, madrasahs, pesantren, and Islamic higher education institutions, occupy a distinctive position within contemporary educational systems. Unlike institutions whose quality objectives are predominantly expressed through academic achievement, administrative efficiency, and organizational performance, Islamic educational institutions are also expected to cultivate moral integrity, spiritual development, social responsibility, and Islamic character. These multidimensional educational objectives require management approaches capable of reconciling measurable institutional performance with broader ethical and spiritual commitments. Accordingly, educational quality in Islamic institutions should be conceptualized not only in terms of educational inputs, processes, and outcomes but also through the alignment between institutional governance, educational practices, and Islamic principles. Recent conceptual research has highlighted the relevance of *ihsan* (excellence), *itqan* (professional diligence), and *amanah* (trustworthiness) as ethical foundations for institutional quality management, suggesting that organizational effectiveness and moral accountability should be understood as complementary rather than competing objectives (Agustina et al., 2026).

The increasing complexity of educational administration has encouraged Islamic educational institutions to adopt various approaches to quality management, particularly Total Quality Management (TQM), internal quality assurance systems, strategic planning, performance evaluation, and continuous institutional improvement. TQM emphasizes organizational commitment to quality through stakeholder orientation, participatory leadership, process improvement, systematic evaluation, and the involvement of institutional members. Within Islamic educational settings, these principles are potentially compatible with religious commitments to excellence, responsibility, consultation, and collective welfare. However, the successful application of TQM requires more than the formal adoption of management procedures. It depends on institutional capacity, leadership commitment, organizational culture, professional competence, and the ability to translate quality objectives into operational practices. Research examining TQM in Islamic educational institutions has emphasized the importance of adapting quality management principles to institutional characteristics rather than implementing

managerial models without considering their educational and cultural contexts (Azizi et al., 2023; Febriani & Sesmiarni, 2024).

The implementation of quality management in Islamic educational institutions also raises important questions concerning the relationship between organizational leadership and institutional performance. Educational leaders are responsible for establishing strategic directions, coordinating institutional resources, developing professional capacity, and cultivating organizational environments conducive to continuous improvement. In Islamic educational institutions, leadership additionally involves ethical responsibility, institutional stewardship, and the articulation of educational objectives consistent with Islamic values. Consequently, the effectiveness of quality management may depend on the capacity of institutional leaders to integrate managerial competence with ethical leadership practices. A recent study of Islamic higher education institutions highlighted the relationship between organizational culture and integrated quality management in institutional transformation, reinforcing the importance of examining quality improvement as an organizational process rather than an isolated administrative activity (Hasbi et al., 2025).

Another significant dimension of educational quality management concerns the development of a sustainable quality culture. A quality culture refers to shared organizational commitments, professional practices, institutional expectations, and collective responsibilities that support continuous improvement. In this perspective, quality assurance should not be confined to periodic evaluation, accreditation preparation, or compliance documentation. Instead, quality principles must become embedded in institutional decision-making, teaching practices, administrative procedures, professional development, and stakeholder relationships. Aeni and Istikomah (2026) identified leadership commitment, institutional participation, measurable evaluation, student-oriented management, and continuous improvement as important dimensions of quality culture development in Islamic educational institutions. Their findings suggest that sustainable institutional quality requires the alignment of formal management structures with organizational attitudes and everyday professional practices.

Despite the increasing adoption of quality assurance mechanisms, the relationship between formal quality standards and actual institutional improvement remains a significant concern. Institutions may establish quality assurance units, formulate strategic plans, develop performance indicators, and undertake accreditation activities without necessarily ensuring that these mechanisms produce meaningful improvements in teaching, learning, governance, or stakeholder satisfaction. This distinction is particularly important in educational management because procedural compliance does not automatically demonstrate educational effectiveness. Recent research on Islamic higher education has therefore examined how institutional needs assessment, key performance indicators, and internal quality assurance mechanisms can be integrated into a more coherent system of quality governance. Basori et al. (2026) identified fragmentation among studies focusing on institutional needs, performance measurement, and quality assurance, indicating the importance of connecting these components within an integrated management process.

From an organizational perspective, effective quality management requires systematic relationships among strategic planning, resource allocation, institutional

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).
Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). Published by Archipel: Journal of Indonesian Interdisciplinary Studies

implementation, performance monitoring, and corrective action. Quality improvement cannot be sustained when these functions operate independently or when evaluation results are disconnected from institutional decision-making. This challenge becomes particularly relevant in Islamic educational institutions that must simultaneously respond to regulatory expectations, stakeholder demands, educational innovation, and religious commitments. The integration of quality management processes therefore requires a comprehensive understanding of how organizational structures, leadership practices, institutional values, and performance measurement interact. The broader literature on internal quality assurance has increasingly recognized the importance of conceptual frameworks capable of explaining relationships among institutional processes and quality outcomes (Krooi et al., 2024).

The emergence of digital technologies has introduced additional opportunities and challenges for institutional quality management. Digital information systems can potentially strengthen documentation, monitoring, communication, institutional reporting, and evidence-based decision-making. However, digital transformation does not necessarily guarantee improvements in educational quality unless technological applications are supported by appropriate governance structures, professional competencies, and institutional strategies. In Islamic educational institutions, technological modernization must also be evaluated in relation to educational accessibility, ethical responsibility, organizational readiness, and the preservation of institutional identity. Consequently, digital quality management should be considered an enabling component of institutional improvement rather than an independent indicator of educational excellence. Recent reviews have identified digital transformation as an increasingly relevant dimension of Islamic educational quality management, while also acknowledging the need for further investigation into its relationship with institutional effectiveness (Suherman et al., 2026).

The ethical foundations of Islamic educational management provide another important perspective for reconsidering the meaning of institutional quality. Islamic educational values offer a normative framework through which organizational responsibility, professional conduct, institutional accountability, and educational excellence may be interpreted. The principle of *amanah* emphasizes responsibility and trustworthiness in institutional governance; *ihsan* encourages excellence and conscientious performance; *itqan* highlights precision, competence, and professional diligence; and *shura* promotes consultation and participatory decision-making. These values may provide ethical guidance for leadership, resource management, institutional evaluation, and stakeholder engagement. Nevertheless, their incorporation into formal quality management systems requires careful conceptual and operational clarification. Agustina et al. (2026) proposed a conceptual relationship between *ihsan*, *itqan*, and *amanah* and contemporary TQM principles, demonstrating the growing scholarly interest in connecting Islamic ethical commitments with measurable organizational practices.

The integration of Islamic values into quality management also raises methodological and conceptual challenges. Although Islamic principles are frequently presented as foundations for educational leadership and institutional excellence, their practical implications may remain insufficiently specified. For instance, describing accountability as an expression of *amanah* does not automatically establish how accountability should be measured, monitored, or

improved within institutional management systems. Similarly, identifying *ihsan* as a foundation for continuous improvement does not independently demonstrate how this value influences organizational behavior or educational outcomes. The challenge is therefore not simply to affirm the compatibility between Islamic values and modern management principles, but to examine how these values can be translated into institutional mechanisms, governance arrangements, and quality indicators. This distinction is important for developing conceptual frameworks that are analytically meaningful and potentially applicable across different Islamic educational settings (Agustina et al., 2026; Basori et al., 2026).

Recent research demonstrates growing scholarly interest in integrated approaches to Islamic educational quality management. Suherman et al. (2026), through a systematic literature review, proposed an integrative model encompassing TQM, Islamic values, educational leadership, organizational culture, human resource development, curriculum integration, digital transformation, stakeholder participation, and continuous improvement. Their study represents an important contribution by bringing together multiple dimensions of institutional quality management within a comprehensive conceptual perspective. Similarly, Agustina et al. (2026) advanced a values-based conceptualization of TQM through the principles of *ihsan*, *itqan*, and *amanah*. Meanwhile, Basori et al. (2026) developed a model connecting institutional needs assessment, performance indicators, internal quality assurance, and Islamic values in higher education. These studies indicate that the field has moved beyond discussing the relevance of quality management in Islamic education toward exploring increasingly sophisticated models of institutional integration.

Nevertheless, the existence of these integrative models creates a more demanding research agenda. The central scholarly challenge is no longer simply whether Islamic values can be combined with contemporary quality management principles, but how the relationships among ethical foundations, organizational processes, institutional conditions, and quality outcomes can be systematically explained. Existing conceptual approaches differ in their emphasis on organizational dimensions, ethical values, institutional performance indicators, and quality assurance mechanisms. Consequently, there remains a need to compare their underlying assumptions, identify areas of conceptual convergence and divergence, and evaluate the extent to which proposed relationships are supported by empirical evidence. Such an investigation is particularly important because a comprehensive framework should explain not only which components are relevant to quality management, but also how they interact and under what institutional conditions their integration may contribute to sustainable improvement.

A further issue concerns the diversity of Islamic educational institutions. Madrasahs, Islamic boarding schools, integrated Islamic schools, and Islamic universities operate within different organizational structures, regulatory environments, educational traditions, and resource conditions. Accordingly, quality management practices that are appropriate for one institutional setting may not necessarily be transferable to another without modification. For example, institutional governance in higher education may involve more formalized quality assurance structures, research performance indicators, and external accreditation requirements, whereas quality management in pesantren may place greater emphasis on religious leadership, community relationships, institutional traditions,

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).
Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). Published by Archipel: Journal of Indonesian Interdisciplinary Studies

and character formation. These differences suggest that an integrated quality management framework should distinguish between general organizational principles and context-dependent implementation mechanisms. Research examining quality management in madrasahs, Islamic schools, and higher education provides a foundation for investigating these institutional variations (Setyowati et al., 2024; Febriani & Sesmiarni, 2024; Hasbi et al., 2025).

From a methodological standpoint, the expansion of research on Islamic educational quality management necessitates a systematic synthesis of existing evidence. Individual empirical studies and conceptual analyses contribute valuable insights, but their findings may differ because of variations in research design, institutional context, conceptual definitions, and measurement approaches. A systematic literature review offers an appropriate approach for identifying relevant studies, examining their methodological characteristics, comparing theoretical perspectives, and synthesizing patterns across the literature. Snyder (2019) emphasized the importance of literature reviews as research methodologies capable of advancing knowledge through structured evaluation and synthesis. In addition, the PRISMA 2020 guidelines provide a reporting framework intended to strengthen transparency in the identification, selection, appraisal, and synthesis of relevant research (Page et al., 2021).

The present study is therefore positioned within an emerging body of scholarship that increasingly recognizes the need for integrated quality management in Islamic education. However, rather than claiming that integrated approaches have not previously been developed, this review seeks to examine the conceptual architecture of existing frameworks, the evidence supporting their proposed relationships, and the institutional conditions that may influence their implementation. This orientation is intended to avoid conceptual duplication while addressing a more specific analytical problem: the need to distinguish between the identification of quality management components and the explanation of mechanisms through which these components may operate as an integrated institutional system. The study consequently focuses on the relationships among strategic leadership, Islamic ethical values, institutional governance, quality assurance processes, organizational culture, and continuous improvement.

METHODS

This study adopts a *Systematic Literature Review* (SLR) approach to examine existing scholarly evidence on quality management in Islamic educational institutions and to develop an integrated conceptual framework. The SLR approach is selected because it enables the systematic identification, critical evaluation, and synthesis of relevant research findings while minimizing selection bias and improving methodological transparency. Unlike traditional narrative reviews, systematic reviews employ explicit procedures for literature identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and evidence synthesis (Snyder, 2019). The methodological design follows the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA 2020) guidelines to ensure transparent and reproducible reporting (Page et al., 2021). Furthermore, the review incorporates the principles of PRISMA-S to strengthen the documentation of literature search strategies (Rethlefsen et al., 2021).

The review focuses on the relationships among Total Quality Management (TQM), educational leadership, institutional governance, Islamic educational values,

quality assurance, and continuous quality improvement. It is designed to identify theoretical perspectives, institutional practices, implementation challenges, and research gaps relevant to developing an integrated quality management framework for Islamic educational institutions. A comprehensive literature search is designed to identify peer-reviewed publications from January 2019 to October 2026. The proposed electronic databases include Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, and the Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ). Google Scholar will be used as a supplementary discovery source to identify potentially relevant publications not retrieved through the primary databases. These sources are selected to capture international scholarship addressing educational management, institutional quality assurance, and Islamic education.

Search expressions will be adapted to the indexing structure and search functionality of each database. Search dates, database-specific queries, applied filters, and retrieved records will be documented to facilitate reproducibility. Following Rethlefsen et al. (2021), the search documentation will preserve sufficient information to allow subsequent researchers to understand and replicate the identification process. Both empirical studies and scholarly conceptual investigations will be considered because the review aims to synthesize organizational practices and theoretical perspectives. Studies involving madrasahs, pesantren, Islamic schools, and Islamic higher education institutions will be eligible.

Publications will be excluded when they are duplicates, non-peer-reviewed opinion pieces, conference abstracts without full papers, or studies that discuss Islamic education without examining institutional quality management. Studies lacking accessible full texts or sufficient information for meaningful analysis will also be excluded. The inclusion of existing review articles will be documented separately to distinguish secondary conceptual contributions from primary empirical evidence and to avoid double-counting findings. The study selection process will follow four stages: identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and final inclusion. Initially, records retrieved from the selected databases will be consolidated and checked for duplication. Titles and abstracts will then be screened against the predetermined eligibility criteria. Potentially relevant publications will undergo full-text assessment to determine their suitability for inclusion in the final synthesis.

The selection process will be documented using a PRISMA 2020 flow diagram indicating the number of records identified, duplicates removed, records screened, full-text reports assessed, and studies included. Reasons for full-text exclusion will also be recorded. These procedures are intended to enhance methodological transparency and minimize arbitrary decisions during literature selection (Page et al., 2021). The methodological quality of eligible studies will be assessed using criteria appropriate to their research designs. Empirical studies will be examined in relation to research objectives, methodological appropriateness, sampling procedures, data collection, analytical rigor, and consistency between evidence and conclusions. Conceptual studies will be evaluated according to theoretical clarity, argumentative coherence, relevance of supporting literature, and contribution to the research questions. Methodological limitations will be documented and considered when interpreting the strength of the synthesized evidence.

The collected evidence will be analyzed through qualitative thematic synthesis. The analysis will involve familiarization with the selected studies, initial

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).
Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). Published by Archipel: Journal of Indonesian Interdisciplinary Studies

coding, identification of recurring patterns, comparison across institutional contexts, and development of higher-order analytical themes. The coding framework will combine deductive categories derived from the research questions with inductive categories emerging from the reviewed literature. This approach allows established theoretical concepts to be examined while remaining responsive to previously unidentified relationships (Snyder, 2019).

The synthesis will distinguish among three analytical dimensions: normative foundations, including Islamic ethical principles and institutional educational objectives; organizational mechanisms, including leadership, governance, stakeholder participation, and quality assurance; and institutional improvement processes, including evaluation, organizational learning, and continuous improvement. These dimensions will guide the development of an integrated conceptual framework. However, relationships incorporated into the proposed framework will be evaluated according to the consistency and methodological strength of the supporting studies rather than assumed to be empirically established.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The contemporary literature on quality management in Islamic educational institutions demonstrates increasing scholarly attention to the integration of modern management approaches, institutional governance, and Islamic educational values. Quality management is increasingly conceptualized as a multidimensional organizational process involving leadership, strategic planning, human resource development, quality assurance, institutional accountability, and continuous improvement. Within Islamic educational institutions, these managerial dimensions are further influenced by religious values, educational traditions, and institutional missions.

Suherman et al. (2026), through a systematic literature review, identified several interconnected components of quality management in Islamic education, including Total Quality Management (TQM), Islamic values, educational leadership, organizational culture, curriculum development, digital transformation, stakeholder participation, and continuous improvement. Their synthesis indicates that quality management in Islamic education extends beyond administrative procedures toward a more comprehensive approach incorporating organizational effectiveness and ethical responsibilities. Similarly, Yuliana et al. (2026), in a systematic review of 32 articles concerning quality assurance in Islamic higher education, identified TQM, the Plan, Do, Check, Act (PDCA) cycle, and internal quality assurance systems as frequently discussed management approaches. Their findings also highlighted leadership commitment, human resource development, and organizational culture as important factors influencing the implementation of institutional quality assurance.

These studies suggest that the central challenge in Islamic educational quality management is not simply the availability of management models but the establishment of coherent relationships among institutional values, management processes, and educational outcomes. Nevertheless, the reviewed publications differ in their institutional scope and analytical emphasis. Some focus on the implementation of management principles, whereas others examine accreditation systems, performance measurement, institutional leadership, or the integration of Islamic values. Consequently, the development of an integrated quality management

framework requires a synthesis that distinguishes normative principles, organizational mechanisms, and institutional improvement processes.

Total Quality Management represents an important theoretical foundation for understanding institutional quality improvement. TQM emphasizes organizational commitment to quality through leadership participation, stakeholder satisfaction, employee involvement, systematic evaluation, and continuous improvement. Within Islamic educational institutions, TQM provides a managerial perspective through which institutional activities can be coordinated toward shared educational objectives. Its implementation may involve strategic planning, curriculum evaluation, professional development, institutional performance monitoring, and stakeholder engagement.

Suherman et al. (2026) identified TQM as one of the principal components of an integrated approach to Islamic educational quality management. Their findings support the view that quality management should be connected to institutional culture, educational leadership, and organizational development rather than implemented solely through administrative procedures. However, the adoption of TQM should not be equated with institutional effectiveness without examining implementation processes and outcomes. Establishing quality standards, documenting procedures, or developing organizational structures does not necessarily demonstrate that educational quality has improved.

A more comprehensive interpretation requires attention to the mechanisms through which quality management influences institutional practices. These mechanisms include the translation of strategic objectives into operational targets, the allocation of institutional responsibilities, the monitoring of educational processes, and the utilization of evaluation results for organizational improvement. Accordingly, TQM should be understood as an organizational approach that requires contextual adaptation. Its effectiveness may depend on institutional leadership, organizational readiness, professional capacity, and the compatibility between management practices and the educational mission of the institution.

Leadership constitutes a central component of quality management because institutional leaders influence strategic direction, resource allocation, organizational coordination, and the development of institutional priorities. In Islamic educational institutions, leadership responsibilities extend beyond administrative management to include the preservation of institutional identity, the promotion of ethical conduct, and the integration of Islamic educational objectives into organizational decision-making. The systematic review conducted by Yuliana et al. (2026) identified leadership commitment as an important supporting factor in the implementation of quality assurance in Islamic higher education. The study also emphasized the relevance of human resource development, internal regulations, and institutional collaboration. These findings indicate that quality management requires leadership capable of connecting institutional objectives with operational procedures and organizational responsibilities.

From an integrated management perspective, educational leadership can be conceptualized through three interrelated functions. First, strategic leadership establishes institutional objectives, quality priorities, and long-term development directions. Second, organizational leadership coordinates institutional resources, professional responsibilities, and management processes. Third, ethical leadership ensures that institutional decisions and organizational practices remain consistent

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).
Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). Published by Archipel: Journal of Indonesian Interdisciplinary Studies

with principles of responsibility, justice, transparency, and educational integrity. These functions are analytically distinct but potentially complementary. Strategic leadership without effective organizational implementation may produce institutional plans that are not translated into educational improvement. Conversely, operational efficiency without ethical accountability may prioritize measurable performance while neglecting broader educational responsibilities. Therefore, an integrated quality management framework should position leadership as a coordinating mechanism connecting institutional values, governance arrangements, and continuous improvement processes.

A distinctive characteristic of Islamic educational institutions is the relationship between institutional management and Islamic ethical principles. Values such as *amanah* (trustworthiness), *ihsan* (excellence), *itqan* (professional diligence), *adl* (justice), and *shura* (consultation) provide normative foundations for organizational responsibility and educational governance. Yuliana et al. (2026) identified these values as relevant to leadership decision-making, academic governance, curriculum quality control, and internal quality culture within Islamic higher education. The integration of Islamic values into quality management can be examined through their potential organizational implications.

Amanah may inform accountability in financial management, institutional reporting, and professional responsibilities. *Ihsan* may provide an ethical orientation toward excellence in teaching, educational services, and institutional improvement. *Itqan* may support professional competence, accuracy, and diligence in the implementation of institutional responsibilities. *Adl* may guide fairness in resource distribution, institutional evaluation, and stakeholder treatment. *Shura* may inform participatory governance and consultation among institutional stakeholders.

However, the identification of these relationships should not be interpreted as empirical proof that Islamic values automatically improve institutional performance. The principal analytical challenge concerns the operationalization of normative principles into observable management practices. For example, institutional accountability may be examined through transparent reporting, documented responsibilities, and accessible evaluation procedures. Participatory governance may be assessed through stakeholder consultation mechanisms and the incorporation of feedback into institutional decisions. Such indicators require contextual adaptation and empirical validation. Consequently, the integration of Islamic values into quality management should move beyond normative statements toward clearly defined institutional practices, measurable processes, and systematic evaluation.

Quality assurance provides institutional mechanisms for establishing standards, monitoring performance, identifying deficiencies, and implementing corrective measures. In Islamic educational institutions, quality assurance may involve internal evaluation systems, accreditation processes, curriculum assessment, academic supervision, stakeholder feedback, and institutional performance reporting. Yuliana et al. (2026) identified TQM, PDCA, and internal quality assurance systems as important approaches within Islamic higher education. Their review also reported challenges involving human resource limitations, financial constraints, organizational resistance, and institutional readiness for international quality standards. These findings suggest that the establishment of formal quality assurance mechanisms is necessary but insufficient for sustainable

institutional improvement.

Basori et al. (2026) examined the integration of institutional needs assessment, key performance indicators, and quality assurance in Islamic higher education. Their review identified fragmentation among research addressing stakeholder needs, performance measurement, and quality assurance mechanisms. The authors proposed an integrated model connecting Islamic values, participatory needs assessment, performance indicators, and data-driven feedback. This contribution is particularly relevant because it highlights the importance of relationships among institutional planning, performance measurement, and continuous improvement.

Nevertheless, the development of an integrated framework requires further consideration of how quality indicators reflect both educational performance and institutional ethical commitments. For instance, academic achievement and accreditation outcomes may provide useful information about institutional performance, but they cannot independently represent the entirety of educational quality. Additional dimensions may include student development, professional competence, stakeholder participation, institutional accountability, and the achievement of educational objectives. Therefore, an integrated quality assurance system should employ indicators that are theoretically justified, institutionally relevant, and sufficiently measurable to support organizational evaluation.

The sustainability of quality management depends not only on institutional policies but also on the development of organizational practices that encourage continuous improvement. A quality culture can be understood as the shared commitment of institutional members to maintaining standards, evaluating performance, identifying weaknesses, and improving educational processes. Suherman et al. (2026) identified organizational culture and continuous improvement as essential components of integrated quality management in Islamic education.

Similarly, Yuliana et al. (2026) emphasized the role of internal quality culture in supporting institutional quality assurance. These findings suggest that formal management structures and organizational behavior should be considered interconnected dimensions of quality development. A quality assurance system may become administratively ineffective when evaluation activities are undertaken primarily to satisfy external requirements rather than to inform institutional improvement. Conversely, institutional improvement may become inconsistent when organizational commitment is not supported by systematic planning, documented standards, and performance evaluation. The relationship between quality assurance and quality culture can therefore be conceptualized as reciprocal. Formal quality assurance mechanisms provide organizational structures for evaluation and accountability, while quality culture may encourage institutional members to utilize these mechanisms as instruments of learning and improvement. This relationship is particularly important in Islamic educational institutions, where organizational commitment may also be influenced by religious identity, educational traditions, and ethical responsibilities. Continuous improvement should consequently be embedded within routine institutional activities rather than restricted to periodic accreditation preparation.

Islamic educational institutions operate within diverse organizational and regulatory environments. Madrasahs, Islamic boarding schools, integrated Islamic

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).
Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). Published by Archipel: Journal of Indonesian Interdisciplinary Studies

schools, and Islamic universities may differ in their governance structures, educational objectives, professional resources, institutional traditions, and external accountability requirements. These differences have important implications for the development of an integrated quality management framework. For example, quality assurance in Islamic higher education may emphasize academic governance, research performance, accreditation, and institutional competitiveness. In contrast, Islamic boarding schools may place greater emphasis on religious leadership, residential education, character development, and community relationships. These differences should not be interpreted as evidence that one institutional model is inherently more effective than another.

Rather, they indicate that quality management frameworks require contextual flexibility. The findings reported by Yuliana et al. (2026) primarily concern Islamic higher education, while Suherman et al. (2026) address a broader range of Islamic educational institutions. This distinction is important when interpreting the transferability of findings. An integrated framework should therefore identify core quality management principles that may apply across institutional settings while allowing implementation procedures and performance indicators to be adapted to specific organizational contexts.

Based on the conceptual relationships identified in the literature discussed above, this study proposes an analytical framework consisting of three interconnected dimensions: normative foundations, organizational mechanisms, and institutional improvement processes. The framework is intended to clarify the relationships among Islamic educational values, institutional management practices, and sustainable quality improvement.

Table 1. Proposed Integrated Quality Management Framework for Islamic Educational Institutions

Dimension	Main Components	Proposed Institutional Functions
Normative foundations	Amanah, ihsan, itqan, adl, shura	Establish ethical principles, institutional responsibilities, and educational purposes
Organizational mechanisms	Strategic leadership, governance, TQM, quality assurance, stakeholder participation	Translate institutional values and objectives into management practices
Institutional improvement processes	Performance evaluation, organizational learning, professional development, corrective action	Support continuous institutional evaluation and improvement
Contextual conditions	Institutional type, resources, regulatory environment, organizational culture	Influence the implementation and adaptation of quality management practices
Anticipated quality outcomes	Educational effectiveness, accountability, stakeholder satisfaction, institutional sustainability	Provide dimensions for subsequent empirical evaluation

Source: Proposed conceptual synthesis informed by Suherman et al. (2026), Yuliana et al. (2026), and Basori et al. (2026). The framework has not been empirically validated.

The first dimension, normative foundations, provides the ethical orientation of

institutional quality management. Islamic values are conceptualized as principles informing institutional objectives, professional conduct, and organizational accountability. The second dimension, organizational mechanisms, represents the institutional processes through which quality objectives may be implemented. These mechanisms include strategic planning, leadership, governance, quality assurance, human resource development, and stakeholder participation.

The third dimension, institutional improvement processes, concerns the systematic evaluation and development of educational quality. It includes performance monitoring, organizational learning, corrective action, and continuous professional development. The framework also recognizes contextual conditions as factors that may influence implementation. Institutional resources, organizational traditions, regulatory requirements, and professional capacity may affect the relationships among its principal dimensions.

Conceptually, the proposed framework assumes that normative foundations inform organizational mechanisms, while organizational mechanisms facilitate institutional improvement processes. However, these relationships remain theoretical propositions requiring empirical investigation. The framework should therefore be interpreted as an analytical model for organizing existing knowledge and guiding future research rather than as evidence of established causal relationships.

The proposed framework contributes to the discussion of Islamic educational quality management by distinguishing between ethical foundations, institutional mechanisms, and improvement-oriented processes. This distinction provides an analytical basis for examining how Islamic educational values may influence institutional management without assuming that normative commitments automatically produce organizational effectiveness. The framework also emphasizes the importance of connecting institutional planning, performance indicators, quality assurance, and corrective action. This perspective is consistent with the concerns identified by Basori et al. (2026) regarding the fragmentation of quality management processes. Furthermore, the framework extends the discussion of integrated quality management by emphasizing contextual conditions and the need to differentiate between conceptual propositions and empirically supported relationships.

For educational leaders, the proposed framework offers a structure for examining whether institutional values are reflected in management practices and whether quality assurance activities contribute to meaningful improvement. For policymakers, it provides a basis for considering institutional diversity when developing quality assurance policies. For researchers, it identifies opportunities to investigate the relationships among ethical leadership, organizational culture, quality assurance effectiveness, and educational outcomes. Future studies may employ quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-method approaches to evaluate these relationships across different institutional contexts.

The literature discussed in this preliminary synthesis indicates several areas requiring further investigation. First, existing integrated models require additional empirical examination to determine whether their proposed relationships are supported across different Islamic educational settings. Second, the operationalization of Islamic ethical values into valid and reliable institutional quality indicators remains an important methodological challenge. Third, further

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).
Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). Published by Archipel: Journal of Indonesian Interdisciplinary Studies

comparative research is needed to examine how institutional type, organizational resources, and regulatory conditions influence the implementation of quality management systems. Fourth, the relationships among leadership, organizational culture, quality assurance, and institutional improvement require more systematic investigation. Finally, the development of digital quality management systems introduces opportunities for institutional monitoring and data-informed decision-making, but their effectiveness and ethical implications require further empirical assessment. These research directions reinforce the importance of developing quality management frameworks that are theoretically coherent, contextually responsive, and open to empirical validation.

Overall, the preliminary synthesis suggests that an integrated approach to Islamic educational quality management should connect institutional values with organizational processes and continuous improvement mechanisms. However, the strength and generalizability of these conclusions must be reassessed after completion of the systematic search, study selection, methodological appraisal, and full evidence synthesis.

CONCLUSIONS

This study emphasizes the significance of integrating contemporary quality management principles with Islamic educational values to strengthen institutional effectiveness, accountability, and sustainable educational development. The preliminary literature synthesis indicates that quality management in Islamic educational institutions extends beyond administrative compliance and accreditation requirements, encompassing strategic leadership, institutional governance, organizational culture, stakeholder participation, and continuous improvement. Accordingly, achieving institutional excellence requires a holistic management approach that aligns organizational performance with the ethical, spiritual, and educational objectives of Islamic education.

The principal conceptual contribution of this study is the proposed Integrated Quality Management Framework for Islamic Educational Institutions, which comprises three interconnected dimensions: normative foundations, organizational mechanisms, and institutional improvement processes. The normative dimension incorporates Islamic ethical principles, particularly *amanah, ihsan, itqan, adl,* and *shura*, as foundations for institutional responsibility and ethical governance. The organizational dimension integrates strategic leadership, Total Quality Management, quality assurance, and stakeholder participation, while the improvement dimension emphasizes systematic evaluation, organizational learning, professional development, and continuous quality enhancement. Together, these dimensions provide a coherent conceptual foundation for connecting Islamic educational philosophy with modern institutional management practices.

The proposed framework contributes theoretically to the advancement of Islamic educational management by clarifying the relationships among ethical values, organizational processes, and sustainable quality improvement. Practically, it offers a conceptual reference for educational leaders, policymakers, and quality assurance practitioners seeking to strengthen institutional accountability, organizational quality culture, and educational performance. Nevertheless, the framework remains conceptual and requires further validation through a completed systematic evidence synthesis and empirical investigation across diverse Islamic educational institutions. Future research should prioritize the development of

measurable quality indicators, comparative institutional analysis, and empirical assessment of the framework's effectiveness in different educational contexts.

REFERENCES

Basori, A. R., Nugraha, M. S., Asiah, A., & Hayat, A. B. (2026). Integrasi need assessment dan key performance indicators dalam penjaminan mutu pendidikan tinggi Islam: Systematic literature review. *Tsaqafatuna: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Islam, 8*(1). https://doi.org/10.54213/tsaqafatuna.v8i1.858

Suherman, U., Khadijah, I., Muslihin, M., & Saimullah. (2026). An integrative model of quality management in Islamic education: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Teacher Training and Educational Research, 4*(1), 75–90. https://doi.org/10.71280/jotter.v4i1.594

Aeni, U., & Istikomah. (2026). Strategies for developing a culture of quality in Islamic educational institutions. *Indonesian Journal of Innovation Studies, 27*(2). https://doi.org/10.21070/ijins.v27i2.1336

Agustina, S., Subandi, S., Fauzan, A., & Makbuloh, D. (2026). Reconceptualizing Total Quality Management in Islamic education through ihsan, itqan, and amanah. *Ahlussunnah: Journal of Islamic Education, 5*(2). https://doi.org/10.58485/jie.v5i2.677

Azizi, M. H., Bakri, S., & Choiriyah, S. (2023). Implementation of Total Quality Management in the Ministry of Religion-based education. *Nidhomul Haq: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam, 8*(1), 125–136. https://doi.org/10.31538/ndh.v8i1.3067

Basori, A. R., Nugraha, M. S., Asiah, A., & Hayat, A. B. (2026). Integrasi need assessment dan key performance indicators dalam penjaminan mutu pendidikan tinggi Islam: Systematic literature review. *Tsaqafatuna: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Islam, 8*(1). https://doi.org/10.54213/tsaqafatuna.v8i1.858

Febriani, S., & Sesmiarni, Z. (2024). Building quality Islamic schools through Total Quality Management. *Journal of Advanced Islamic Educational Management, 4*(2), 83–94. https://doi.org/10.24042/jaiem.v4i2.24638

Hasbi, M., Alim, N., & Machmud, H. (2025). Transformation of education quality in Islamic higher education institutions through organizational culture and integrated quality management. *Munaddhomah: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam, 6*(3), 375–386. https://doi.org/10.31538/munaddhomah.v6i3.1921

Krooi, M., Whittingham, J., & Beausaert, S. (2024). Introducing the 3P conceptual model of internal quality assurance in higher education: A systematic literature review. *Studies in Educational Evaluation, 82*, Article 101360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2024.101360

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., McGuinness, L. A., ... Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ, 372*, Article n71. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71

Setyowati, R. D., Tisnawati, N., & Pahrudin, A. (2024). Quality education management in madrasah. *Tadbir: Jurnal Studi Manajemen Pendidikan, 8*(1), 45–54.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).
Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). Published by Archipel: Journal of Indonesian Interdisciplinary Studies

https://doi.org/10.29240/jsmp.v8i1.10027
Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research, 104*, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039
Suherman, U., Khadijah, I., Muslihin, M., & Saimullah. (2026). An integrative model of quality management in Islamic education: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Teacher Training and Educational Research, 4*(1). https://doi.org/10.71280/jotter.v4i1.594
Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ, 372*, n71. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71
Rethlefsen, M. L., Kirtley, S., Waffenschmidt, S., Ayala, A. P., Moher, D., Page, M. J., & Koffel, J. B. (2021). PRISMA-S: An extension to the PRISMA Statement for Reporting Literature Searches in Systematic Reviews. *Systematic Reviews, 10*, Article 39. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-020-01542-z
Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research, 104*, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039
Yuliana, L., Hamdi, S., & Perdana, R. (2026). Quality assurance in Islamic higher education: Global trends, challenges, and future directions. *Munaddhomah: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam, 7*(1). https://doi.org/10.31538/munaddhomah.v7i1.2547